

MINISTERS FOR MILLER.

LETTERS FROM PREACHERS IN ALL PARTS
OF THE STATE.

ARM PRAISE FOR THE REPUBLICAN CAM-
DATE-THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE
MORAL ISSUES IN THE STATE CAM-
PAIGN-THEY KNOW AND FEAR
HILL, THE RUM-POWER'S

From numerous parts of the State have come letters written by prominent ministers of various denominations in support of Senator Miller for Governor. Many shades of opinion are represented in these letters. Ministers who are devoutly opposed in prohibition do not hesitate to declare their intention of working and voting for Miller. For his character, ability, career and position on the great issue in the politics of the State, they have nothing but the highest praise for him as a representative of the moral and religious side of the issue, they have the deep regard. Here are some of the letters:

DR. FOLSOM NOT EASILY SATISFIED.

The Rev. Dr. Thomas L. Folsom, pastor of the Methodist Episcopal Church at Flushing, L. I., speaking for the Methodist people and for him: "We who know us will question our prohibition. None. One are also opposed to any and every kind of liquor."

compromise with the vile business. Nor are we sense partisan politicians, but we have even been independent voters. To the best of our knowledge we have never been in the hands of a man in the last century. Consistency with past convictions and conduct demands that we shall now favor Warner Miller, Governor of New-York as against David B. Hill. To us the only possibility open to us. No other person has been able to represent the temperance cause in the State of New-York as consistently and temperance man, while Mr. Hill has represented by his friends to be the opposite in the characteristics, preferring saloons to churches for a gathering place.

It is a mistake on which Mr. Miller runs down the platform, to suppose that we are not able to embody our sentiments on the temperance question, but it suits us a great deal better than the reform on which Mr. Hill stands. And these two platforms between which we have practiced for many years, and which we have been able to show it is possible for any temperance man (to be a Christian) to advocate by voice or vote the cause of Mr. Hill, as he thus presents himself as a candidate before the people. As these two platforms are so far apart, we are not surprised that you should be accorded them by the documents indicated, it requires us to us absurd that a conscientious citizen should hesitate one instant to select Warner Miller in preference to David B. Hill, if we consider only the avowed doctrine which he advocates, and which we have no doubt that we are respectively would be worse than the

be wicked for us to ignore so good an opportunity constantly to promote the principles we espouse. In voting for the gubernatorial chair the candidate represents those principles in his private and public character as Warner Miller does. If this policy and method of discharging the solemn obligations of citizenship be adopted and practised by honest voters on all occasions their suffrage is to determine questions of so serious import as are involved in the present canvass, should it be a matter of surprise if our Republic shall speedily come to ruin!

The 6th of November next.
DR. KING KNEW A GOOD MAN.

The Rev. Dr. Joseph E. King, principal of the Edward College Institute, in which Miller was at one time a tutor, declares for his strong terms. He says:

Warner Miller began his public life as a teacher in this institution. He did his work there well, and he has been a mainstay to our young men who have observed his honorable career with gratification. For the position to which he has been advanced I regard him as extraordinarily well equipped intellectually and morally. His pronounced attitude toward the negro is a credit to his character. It is the nearest practical approach to prohibition upon public opinion now is—and makes him infinitely preferable to Governor Hill, who has struck down every legislative attempt to put restraint upon that accursed traffic.

FATHER McLOUGHLIN, Catholic priest, the Reformed Methodist minister, and the Rev. VITO McLaughlin, pastor of St. Matthew's Catholic Church in New-Rochelle, who cannot and will not vote for Mr. Hill for Governor, vetoed the Ballot Reform bill alone—to say nothing

the solid vote of the higher interest holders would prevent me from doing so. The frequent recourse to a veto of late years by Presidents and Governors is upon as dangerous, and a departure from the example by Presidents and Governors in the earlier days of the

lodic. Recourse to it for political ends is a crime. I might say criminal. I think the people ought to rebuke by the ballot this unwarrantable attempt to pass by the veto the reform and freedom of the ballot. It is a terrible and effectual in protecting the voter from the oftentimes debasing influence of partisan politicians. I have not as yet thought of it sufficiently to say for or against it. I will vote for President, but for Governor I must vote against Hill, and if there be no single issue, I will vote against Miller, who, I understand, is pledged to veto the so-called Australian method of voting. I will be passed by the next Legislature.

DR. HOTT'S EMPHATIC WORDS.

The Rev. J. H. Hott, pastor of the Presbyterian church in Bedford, Westchester County, states his reasons for supporting Mr. Miller in decided and emphatic words. Here they are:

I have read what the New-York clergymen have said in regard to the pending State election, as reported in this organ. They put it none too strongly. It is time to speak utterance. It is a contest in which the pulpit must afford to be silent, nor give forth any uncertain sound. It is a contest which ranges the basest elements of society against the best, the saloon against the home, the church. For one, I am glad that the issue is so sharp and clear. We have long been wanting

unity to men the rum power. Surely as they are men they have it now—an opportunity which gives to every voter a chance to put a vote where it will tell directly for decency and morality.

Every vote for Hill means a vote directly for the rum power, decency and morality. Every vote for Miller means a vote against the rum interest and decency and morality. It is recognized and openly acknowledged that Hill stands for the saloon and all that the saloon represents. He is the champion of the liquor traffic, the friend of the rum power. Indeed, it is boldly and defiantly proclaimed by those who hold a leading position in the management of his canvass that they prefer the saloon to the church. It is a direct and open challenge to the church, and a direct and open insult to every man who is to the church, and a direct and open insult to every

the old Hebrew days, the Philistines hurling defiance at the armies of the living God, and I believe that the year of November will witness a repetition of the results that may put me down as an enthusiastic supporter of the

DR. LEECH'S VALUABLE OPINION.
The following is the opinion of an Albanian Minister, the Rev. Dr. S. V. Leech, of the First Methodist Episcopal Church. His remarks are especially valuable, because he has made the territorial question a study of years, and his communications with the Legislature, as chaplain of the State, have placed him in a position to speak understandingly. This is what he says:

have made the temperance question for years a matter of careful study. During my term as president of the New-York State Temperance Society, and when some of the State Senate as its chaplain, much of my time was occupied in investigating temperance measures pending in the Legislature. I found invariably that ninety per cent of the Republican members were strong friends of the most advanced practical temperance bills introduced.

ered any measures calculated to restrict or annihilate the sale and use of distilled or brewed liquors. In addition the third or so-called Prohibition party is a Democratic annex, in that its war-cry seems to be "Down with the Democrats."

they do not give one vote in twenty at the polls in this State, and their prophecies of an early numerical victory for either of the great parties that annually add 40,000 to their lists of voters, is a baseless dream that will never be realized. They see the saloons, distilleries and breweries in an almost solid line, raising an immense collection fund to re-elect a Governor who has vetoed every temperance bill that has been adopted by the Legislature, and to him they behold Warner Miller, a brave champion of the Union, and a tried and loyal friend of the common people, and a magnificent public canvasser of democracy in the liquor traffic. How any Christian man, in a crisis, can waste his vote on a candidate who would stand a "ghost of a show" of election, is one of the